IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BANGALORE
DATED THIS THE 18TH DAY OF JUNE 1998
BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.C. BHARUKA

WRIT PETITION NO: 14303/1996

BETWEEN:

Sri Prahaladacharya
Bindacharya Umarji,
s/o 'Sri Bindacharya Umarji,
aged about 51 years, Vidya Vardhaka
Samithi Satti High School,
Satti Village, Athani Taluk,
Belgaum District.

(By Sri B. Srinivasa Gowda, Adv) .. Petitioner

AND:

- The Commissioner for Public Instructions
 Karnataka State, New Public Office,
 Bangalore-1.
- 2. The Deputy Director of Public Instructions, Belgaum District, Belgaum.
- 3. Vidyavardhaka Samithi Satti, Satti Village, Athani Taluk, Belgaum District.

 Rep by its Secretary.

4. Vidya Vardhaka Samithi Satti
High School, Satti Village,
Athani Taluk, Belgaum District.
Rep by its in-charge Head Master.

- 2 -

Father's name not known to the petitioner,

Asst Teacher/In charge Head Master,

Vidya Vardhaka Samithi High School,

Satti village, Athani Taluk,

Belgaum District.

(By Sri G.Papi Reddy, AGA for R_1 & 2; Sri M.H.Deter for R_3 to 5;)

.. Respondent

Writ petition is filed under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India with a prayer to direct the R1 to 4 to consider the case of the petitioner for promotion to the post of Head Master in the R4 Institution with effect from 31.3.94 the date of which the said post became vacant on account of the retirement of Sri S.B.Josh: and etc.,

This petition coming on for orders this day the Court made the following:

ORDER.

W.P.No.14303/96



Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and Mrs.

- V. Vidya, learned H.C.G.P. for the respondents
- 2. The petitioner is employee of Private an Educational institution. The relief claimed by him in the present writ petition pertains to his employment. Division Bench of this Court in W.A. No. 1833 to 1836/95 and connected matters (DD 30.05.1998) has held that private educational institution has been admitted to grant-in-aid under the provisions of the Grant-in-Aid Code by the State government, still no relationship of master servant between the government and the person like the petitioner comes into being and therefore, the writ filed by such an employee is not maintainable under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, since he has effective. efficacious and alternative statutory remedies available under the Karnataka Education Act, 1983 (in short 'the Act').
- 3. In paragraph 12 of the judgment it has been held that.-

"As regards the locus standi of staff working aforesaid educational institutions to maintain the the writ petitions, they have got the remedy under Section 94 of the Education Act dismissal against an order of Or removal service or reduction in rank. Under Section 130, appeal is provided against any order passed by officer or authority under the Act. Section 131 provides for revision by Government either suo moto interested. or on an application from any person Section 132 of the Education provides for Act review by the State Government either suo any application received from

Ly

interested. The Government is also vested with the power to give direction under Section 133 of to make enquiry or take appropriate proceeding by the Commissioner or Director or any officer not below the rank of District Educational Officer and to submit report. the Education Act provides for appeal, revision and review in respect of any order and in the any order, the Government can be moved for issuing necessary direction in exercise of power under Section 133 and the staff can avail the said remedies. Even with regard to payment of salary, what is stated above with regard to the teaching and non-teaching staff applies the other staff also. Without exhausting such alternative remedy, they cannot be permitted to invoke the extraordinary jurisdiction of this Court Article 226 of the Constitution.

For the aforesaid reasons the writ petition is dismissed on the ground of availability of efficacious effective alternative remedy. However, all the rights and contentions of the parties on merits are kept open for being agitated before the appropriate statutory authorities. advised, the petitioner may avail his statutory remedy by way filing appeal / revision / application as the case may be under the Act within SIX WEEKS from today and in the event of filing of the same, the concerned authorities will dispose of such appeal / revision / application within THREE months from the date filing thereof. If any such remedy has already been availed, then the same should be disposed of within months from the date of communication of this order.



Sd/-JUDGE

jsk/-